	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/724,390	HINOJOSA, LUCIANO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond W. Addie	3671
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>non-final</u>		
(1) Raymond W. Addie.	(3) <u>Roger Pitt</u> .	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 April 2006	Time: <u>4 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 102(b) Roller '640		
Claims discussed: 3-15		
Prior art documents discussed: Roller '640		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	,
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
·		
Mars centre 4/6/66		Signatura if appropriate)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ar	pplicant/Applicant's Representative S	orgnature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: As amended Claim 10 is vague with respect to the scope of the claim, in that claim 10 appears to be a "hybrid" claim requiring a stamped sheet safety rail barrier having the combination according to claim 9. However, Claim 9 is in itself a combination type claim. Hence, the Examiner requested claim 10 be rewritten to better clarify the scope of the claimed invention. Applicant concured with the Examiner's request, by proposing changes to claim 10 that overcome the Examiner's orally stated claim objection. Said proposed changes are incorporated by Examiner's Amendment such that the case is in a condition for allowance..